

ON A RECENTLY PROPOSED RELATION BETWEEN oHS AND ITO SYSTEMS

Atalay Karasu

Department of Physics , Faculty of Arts and Sciences

Middle East Technical University , 06531 Ankara-Turkey

Abstract

The bi-Hamiltonian structure of original Hirota-Satsuma system proposed by Roy based on a modification of the bi-Hamiltonian structure of Ito system is incorrect.

In a recent paper[1] a bi-Hamiltonian structure for the original Hirota-Satsuma(oHS) system where a is arbitrary is proposed and a relation between oHS and Ito systems is introduced and a recursion operator is found for the oHS system. In this note we point out that the oHS system does not in fact admit a bi-Hamiltonian structure and the relation between the oHS and Ito systems claimed by the author of [1] is actually incorrect.

It is well known that the original Hirota-Satsuma system (oHS)[2]

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= a(u_{xxx} + 6uu_x) + 2bv v_x, \\ v_t &= -v_{xxx} - 3uv_x \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for all values of a and b , possesses three conserved quantities.

$$I_1 = u, \quad I_2 = u^2 + \frac{2}{3}bv^2, \quad I_3 = (1+a)(u^3 - \frac{1}{2}(u_x)^2) + b(uv^2 - (v_x)^2). \tag{2}$$

Later Hirota-Satsuma [3] showed that oHS system has infinitely many conserved quantities for the choice of $a = \frac{1}{2}$ and conjectured that it is completely integrable. Dodd and Fordy [4] showed that the oHS system admits a Lax representation only for this particular value of $a = \frac{1}{2}$. Also Aiyer [5] proved that the oHS system possesses a recursion operator of degree four only for $a = \frac{1}{2}$. The same result has been recently reported by the author and Gürses [6] -[8] in the context of the integrable coupled KdV systems admitting recursion operators. Wilson [9] pointed out that the oHS system with $a = \frac{1}{2}$ belongs to the general construction of evolutionary equations possessing Lax-pair due to Drinfeld and Sokolov [10]-[11]. On the other hand the Ito system [12]

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + 6uu_x + 2vv_x,$$

$$v_t = 2(uv)_x. \quad (3)$$

admits a bi-Hamiltonian structure

$$B_{II}\delta\mathcal{H}_n = B_I\delta\mathcal{H}_{n+1} \quad (4)$$

where

$$B_{II} = \begin{pmatrix} D^3 + 4uD + 2u_x & 2vD \\ 2v_x + 2vD & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_I = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

with the Hamiltonian functionals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1[u, v] &= \int \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2)dx, \\ \mathcal{H}_2[u, v] &= \int \frac{1}{2}(u^3 - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 + uv^2)dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The recursion operator arising from a Hamiltonian pair

$$R = B_{II}(B_I)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} D^2 + 4u + 2u_xD^{-1} & 2v \\ 2v + 2v_xD^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

is a hereditary operator [7] which gives rise to infinitely many conserved quantities. The multi-Hamiltonian structure of this system was studied by Antonowicz and Fordy [13] and by Olver and Rosenau [14].

At this stage we have the following observations:

observation 1:

The author of [1] points out that there is a printing error in the conserved density I_3 (instead of $1 + a$ there is a) obtained in [2]. But this claim is wrong and I_3 in the ref.[3] is correct as it is. One can check this either using $\frac{d}{dt} \int I_3 dx = 0$ or finding its gradient γ_3 satisfies $\gamma'_3[K] + (K')^\dagger[\gamma_3] = 0$ and $\gamma'_3 = (\gamma'_3)^\dagger$ [15]. Here K is the right hand side of the oHS system.

observation 2:

The author of [1] claims that the oHS system can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}_t = AB_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_1}{\delta u} \\ \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_1}{\delta v} \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a(D^2 + 4u + 2u_x D^{-1}) & 2v + v_x D^{-1} \\ 2v + v_x D^{-1} & -(D^2 + 4u + 2u_x D^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

and B_I given in (5). Here $\mathcal{H}_1$ is the Hamiltonian functional of the Ito system. First we have noticed that $\mathcal{H}_1$ is also a Hamiltonian functional of the oHS system ($b = 3/2$). Second, the operator AB_1 does not satisfy the Jacobi identity [16]. Therefore it is not a Hamiltonian operator for the oHS system although it is a skew-symmetric operator. Furthermore the author of [1] proposes a bi-Hamiltonian structure for the oHS system which is based on the bi-Hamiltonian form of Ito system (4) as

$$AB_{II}\delta\mathcal{H}_n = AB_I\delta\mathcal{H}_{n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (10)$$

First of all, the Hamiltonian functional $\mathcal{H}_2$ of the Ito system is not a Hamiltonian functional of the oHS system. Moreover neither AB_I nor AB_{II} are Hamiltonian operators. As a result the expression (10) does not constitute a bi-Hamiltonian form for the oHS system.

observation 3

The author of [1] claims that an infinite hierarchy of the oHS system is generated by the recursion operator

$$\mathcal{R} = (AB_{II})(AB_I)^{-1} = ARA^{-1} \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the recursion operator for Ito system. It is easy to see that the given recursion operator $\mathcal{R}$ has at most degree two. Therefore it does not generate the hierarchy of the oHS system. It has been recently reported that neither oHS nor HS with $a = \frac{1}{2}$ possess a recursion operator of degree two [6]-[8].

As a conclusion, contrary to the claims made in ref.[1], the oHS system is not a bi-Hamiltonian system. This system is integrable and admits a bi-Hamiltonian structure only when $a = \frac{1}{2}$ [17]-[18]. The hierarchy of this system was studied by Levi [19].

The author would like to thank M. Gürses for bringing this recent work on Hirota-Satsuma system to my attention and for many valuable discussions. This work is partially supported by the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK).

References

- [1] P.K. Roy , Phys.Lett. A,**249**, (1998) 55.
- [2] R. Hirota and J. Satsuma, Phys.Lett. A,**85**, (1981) 407.
- [3] J. Satsuma and R. Hirota ,J. Phys.Soc.Japan **51**, (1982) 3390.
- [4] R. Dodd and A.P. Fordy, Phys.Lett. A,**89**, (1982) 168.
- [5] R.N. Aiyer, Phys.Lett.A,**93**,(1983) 368.
- [6] M. Gürses and A. Karasu, Phys.Lett. A,**214**, (1996) 21.
- [7] M. Gürses and A. Karasu, J.Math.Phys.,**39**, (1998) 2103.

- [8] M. Gürses and A. Karasu Phys.Lett. A,**251**, (1999) 247.
- [9] G. Wilson, Phys.Lett. A,**89**, (1982) 332.
- [10] V.G.Drinfeld and V.V Sokolov, Proc. S.L. Sobolev Seminar,Novosibirsk,Vol.2 (1981) pp.5-9 (in Russian).
- [11] V.G.Drinfeld and V.V Sokolov, J.Sov.Math.**30**, (1985) 1975.
- [12] M. Ito , Phys.Lett.A,**91**,(1982) 353.
- [13] M.Antonowicz and A.P.Fordy, Physica D **28**, (1987) 345.
- [14] P.J.Olver and P.Rosenau, Phys.Rev.E **53**, (1996) 1900.
- [15] B. Fuchssteiner and A.S.Fokas, Physica D,**4**, (1981) 47.
- [16] P.J. Olver. Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, 2nd Ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol.107 (Springer, New York, 1993).
- [17] B. Fuchssteiner, Prog.Theor.Phys.,**68**, (1982) 1082 .
- [18] W. Oevel, Phys.Lett.A,**94**, (1983) 404.
- [19] D. Levi, Phys.Lett.A,**95**, (1983) 7.